



Guidelines for Reviewers

These guidelines are only for your reference. The review can be set out according to your own style.

GENERAL COMMENTS

Please provide general comments on the manuscript.

1. Is the topic unique and worthy of publication?
2. Has significant progress been made in relation to current research findings?
3. Is the proposed experiment /simulation quite novel?
4. Are the conclusions tenable?
5. To your knowledge, has the manuscript been published in substantially the same form elsewhere?
6. Overall recommendation (Accept in present form/ Accept with minor modifications / Accept with major modifications / Reject).

STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES

Please give an account of the strengths and weaknesses of the manuscript.

You can provide specific comments on the next page.

1. Has the study been structured correctly?
2. Is the abstract informative? Does the abstract reflect the body of the paper?
3. Does the introduction provide sufficient background information for readers in the immediate field to understand the problem/hypotheses?
4. Can the methods be reproduced?
5. Does the article present a rigorous derivation of formulas?

6. Is the statistical analysis appropriate?
7. Are the results reported fully, clearly and concisely?
8. Do all tables and figures present a clear summary of the results? Are all figures/tables referred to in the text?
9. Does the discussion sum up the main results, critically analyze the methods used, compare the results obtained with other data reported in the literature, and discuss the implications of the findings?
10. Is the text well arranged and in logical order? Are concepts introduced clearly?
Is the article readable? Are there any grammatical errors in the article?
11. Is the theoretical analysis in this article sound?
12. Is the reference section informative? Are the references accurate?

SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

Please provide general suggestions to improve the paper.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Please suggest specific changes that would improve the quality of the paper without expressing any opinion regarding acceptability. Specific comments may be identified by page and line number.